

DETERMINATION OF SOIL ELEMENTAL COMPOSITION USING PORTABLE XRF ANALYSER

HABOVA MAGDALENA¹, POSPISILOVA LUBICA¹, RENCIUKOVA VERONIKA²

¹Department of Agrochemistry, Soil Science, Microbiology and Plant Nutrition Mendel University in Brno Zemedelska 1, 613 00 Brno ²Research Institute of Agricultural Engineering, p. r. i. Drnovska 507, 161 01 Praha 6 CZECH REPUBLIC

xhabova1@node.mendelu.cz

Abstract: Ex-situ elemental analysis of soil and silica-sand growing medium using portable XRF analyser Niton XL3t GOLD+ is presented. Object of study was *Haplic Cambisol* (Vatín, Czech Republic) and silica-sand growing medium. Both of them are supposed to be used for further pot experiments with selenium application. Application two forms: sodium selenium and selenium particles; and two concentrations: 0.2 mg and 2 mg). Therefore elemental analysis and trace elements determination was necessary. We came to the conclusion, that portable XRF analyser represents quick and convenient methods for determination of elemental composition in soils and growing medium.

Key Words: elements, soil, growing medium

INTRODUCTION

Elements contain under natural conditions may in soil differ widely and might be characterized by variety of parent material, chemical processes, differing in the extraction agent, concentration, time of extraction and others. Mainly parent material, its mineralogical composition, intensity of pedogenetic and weathering processes influencing elemental composition of soil (Kabata-Pendias, Pendias 2001). The result of given processes is soil colloidal complex formation. According to Tessier et al. (1979) different elements and their speciation in soil could defined as exchangeable, bound to the carbonates, bound to the manganese and iron oxides, bound to soil organic matter, and residual. Modern analytical procedures and methods have been developed and multielemental determination (e.g. AAS, ICP-AES, ICP OES) is today used (Soltanpour 1991, Marchand et al. 2011). The aim of our work was to characterize soil and growing medium (substrate) using the latest performance of Niton XL3t GOLD+ portable XRF analyser. X-ray fluorescence (XRF) is a non-destructive analytical technique used to determine the elemental composition of materials. XRF analyzers determine the chemistry of a sample by measuring the fluorescent (or secondary) x-ray emitted from a sample when it is excited by a primary x-ray source. Each of the elements present in a sample produces a set of characteristic fluorescent x-rays that is unique for that specific element, which is why XRF spectroscopy is an excellent technology for qualitative and quantitative analysis of material composition.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Object of study was an organo-mineral soil (Haplic Cambisol, Vatin, Czech Republic) and silica-sand growing medium (substrate). It was necessary to characterized both of them before using them in our pot experiments in phytotron, and before selenium application. Samples were air dried, and sieved through 2.0 mm screen. Ex-situ elemental analysis was carried out using a portable XRF analyser Niton XL3t GOLD+ (Figure 1). The analyser features a 50 kV and 200 µa x-ray tube with an Ag anode and large area Silicon drift detector (SDD). Four filters provide an optimized excitation from potassium (\mathbf{Z}) = 19) to uranium (Z = 92). The XL3t analyser does not require any specific calibration, calibration factors were set to the factory values. All analysis were performed in the Mining mode, which is based on the Fundamental Parameter (FP) algorithm. Samples were always fully cupped to ensure "infinitely thick samples" condition. Every sample was analysed three times for



30s per main, high and low filters and 120s per light filter. Measured values were then averaged (www.tttenviro.com/manual-XL3-series). Acidity and conductivity were determined according to Zbíral (1997). Total carbon content was determined according to Nelson and Sommers (1982). Fractional composition of humus was made according to Kononová and Bělčiková method (1963). Evaluation of trace elements content was done according to the declaration 13/1994 Sb., law 334/92 Sb. (Němeček et al. 2010).

Figure 1 Analyser Thermo Scentigic Nitron XL3 Gold



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Acidity of silicone-sand growing medium was optimal and varied from 5.5-6.5. Acidity of Haplic Cambisol was acid. Conductivity of growing medium was higher to compare with mineral soil, but the limit for salinity (4 mS/cm²) was not overstep. Content of humic substances, humic acids, and fulvic acids was comparable in both samples. Much more total organic carbon was found in silicasand growing medium – see Table 1. We determined the following elements in silica-sand growing medium - Cd, Pd, Nb, Zr, Sr, Rb, Fe, Ti, Ca, Si, K, Al, P, Cl, and S. Under detection limits were - As, Co, Cr, Cu, Se, Mo, Ni, V, and Zn. As you can see from Table 2 limit (0.4 ppm) for Cd was overstep in silica-sand growing medium (6.602 ppm). In organo-mineral soil (Haplic Cambisol) we determined the following elements - As, Ba, Cr, Nb, Zr, Sr, Rb, Pb, Zn, Fe, Mn, Ti, V, Ca, Si, K, Al, P, Cl, S, and Mg. Under detection limits were – Cd, Co, Cu, Mo, Ni, and Se. We can conclude that wide range of elements were presented in *Haplic Cambisol* and no limits for risk elements content were overstep. Silica-sand growing medium contained less elements (not as broad) to compare with organo-mineral soil. Concerning both organic and inorganic soil constituents, X-ray absorption spektroscopy (XAS) methods have been successfully applied for element speciation and the identification of reaction mechanisms (Totsche at el. 2010). Micro XAS methods combined with X-ray flourence allow for a mapping and speciation of, metals as Cu, Pb and Zn (Manceau et al. 2004, Vantelon et al. 2005, Strawn et al. 2008).

Sample	pH/H ₂ O	pH/KCl	Conductivity	Sum of	Sum of	Sum of	TOC	
				HS	HA	FA		
			$(mS \cdot cm^{-1})$	$(g \cdot kg^{-1})$	$(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{kg}^{-1})$	$(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{kg}^{-1})$	(%)	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
Silica-sand growing medium	5.71	5.40	1.15	3.0	1.5	1.5	11.40	
Haplic Cambisol	5.95	4.56	0.05	4.6	1.3	3.3	1.45	

Table 1 Basic chemical properties of Haplic Cambisol soil and silica-sand growing medium

(1) Soil type, (2) active soil reaction, (3) exchangeable soil reaction, (4) conductivity, (5) sum of humic substances, (6) sum of humic acid, (7) sum of fulvo acid, (8) total organic carbon



	Silica-sand growing medium (ppm)	Error (ppm)	Soil sample - Haplic Cambisol (ppm)	Error (ppm)
Ba	<lod< td=""><td>38.401</td><td>474.114</td><td>32.758</td></lod<>	38.401	474.114	32.758
Sb	<lod< td=""><td>11.114</td><td><lod< td=""><td>11.459</td></lod<></td></lod<>	11.114	<lod< td=""><td>11.459</td></lod<>	11.459
Sn	<lod< td=""><td>11.751</td><td><lod< td=""><td>13.280</td></lod<></td></lod<>	11.751	<lod< td=""><td>13.280</td></lod<>	13.280
Cd	6.602	3.816	<lod< td=""><td>6.353</td></lod<>	6.353
Pd	3.326	2.055	<lod< td=""><td>2.818</td></lod<>	2.818
Ag	<lod< td=""><td>3.286</td><td><lod< td=""><td>2.664</td></lod<></td></lod<>	3.286	<lod< td=""><td>2.664</td></lod<>	2.664
Мо	<lod< td=""><td>1.817</td><td><lod< td=""><td>1.855</td></lod<></td></lod<>	1.817	<lod< td=""><td>1.855</td></lod<>	1.855
Nb	2.687	1.181	12.827	1.572
Zr	75.626	2.270	263.109	4.827
Sr	18.222	1.169	71.290	2.404
Rb	7.882	1	62.288	1.849
Bi	<lod< td=""><td>2.618</td><td><lod< td=""><td>6.791</td></lod<></td></lod<>	2.618	<lod< td=""><td>6.791</td></lod<>	6.791
As	<lod< td=""><td>3.910</td><td>12.455</td><td>5.011</td></lod<>	3.910	12.455	5.011
Se	<lod< td=""><td>1.519</td><td><lod< td=""><td>2.213</td></lod<></td></lod<>	1.519	<lod< td=""><td>2.213</td></lod<>	2.213
Au	<lod< td=""><td>5.733</td><td><lod< td=""><td>8.899</td></lod<></td></lod<>	5.733	<lod< td=""><td>8.899</td></lod<>	8.899
Pb	<lod< td=""><td>5.264</td><td>33.695</td><td>5.267</td></lod<>	5.264	33.695	5.267
Zn	<lod< td=""><td>8.414</td><td>82.486</td><td>9.630</td></lod<>	8.414	82.486	9.630
Си	<lod< td=""><td>13.828</td><td><lod< td=""><td>24.863</td></lod<></td></lod<>	13.828	<lod< td=""><td>24.863</td></lod<>	24.863
Ni	<lod< td=""><td>27.679</td><td><lod< td=""><td>37.291</td></lod<></td></lod<>	27.679	<lod< td=""><td>37.291</td></lod<>	37.291
Со	<lod< td=""><td>42.041</td><td><lod< td=""><td>118.525</td></lod<></td></lod<>	42.041	<lod< td=""><td>118.525</td></lod<>	118.525
Fe	3761.366	95.046	33185.707	312.459
Mn	<lod< td=""><td>68.245</td><td>800.928</td><td>85.569</td></lod<>	68.245	800.928	85.569
Cr	<lod< td=""><td>26.791</td><td>144.543</td><td>24.092</td></lod<>	26.791	144.543	24.092
V	<lod< td=""><td>30.524</td><td>162.925</td><td>40.689</td></lod<>	30.524	162.925	40.689
Ti	617.529	32.688	5592.479	100.257
Са	35977.340	496.468	6023.226	327.098
K	4507.706	141.006	20381.639	356.847
Al	3562.406	186.529	45529.781	614.541
Р	2496.389	87.350	522.886	110.704
Si	70739.375	491.360	223577.297	1131.114
Cl	2406.312	26.009	559.424	17.119
S	4552.898	56.340	3019.527	46.591
Mg	<lod< td=""><td>2158.640</td><td>6321.030</td><td>1303.112</td></lod<>	2158.640	6321.030	1303.112

Table 2 Trace elements content in silica-sand growing medium and soil sample (Haplic Cambisol)

CONCLUSION

Ex-situ elemental analysis using portable XRF analyser Niton XL3t GOLD+ represents quick and convenient methods for determination of elemental composition in soils and growing medium. In future this study will continue and will be aimed at evaluation of correlation between trace elements content, mineral composition and soil organic matter quality.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was supported by the Internal Grant Agency of the Faculty of Agronomy MENDELU in Brno IGA TP 2/2015: Effect of selenium on the quality of plant and animal production from the perspective of safety.

REFERENCES

Bailey S. W. 1980. Summary of recommendations of AIPEA nomenclature committee on clay mineral. *American Mineralogist*, 65: 1–7.

Bičík I. 2009. Půda v České republice. 1st ed. Praha: Consult.

Kabata-Pendias A., Pendias H. 2001. Trace Elements in Soils and Plants. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press.

Kononová M. M., Bělčiková N. P. 1963. Uskorennyj metod opredelenija sostava gumusa mineralnych počv. In: *Organičeskoje veščestvo počvy. MGU*. Moskva. pp. 228–234.

Manceau A., Marcus M. A., Tamura M., Proux O., Geoffroy N., Lanson B. 2004. Natural speciation of Zn at the micrometer scale in a Clary soil using X-ray flourescence, absorption, and diffraction. *Geochim. Cosmochim*, 68: 2467–2483.

Marchand C., Lallier-Verges E., Allenbach M. 2011. Relationships between heavy metals distribution and organic matter cycling in mangrove sediments (Conception Bay, New Caledonia). *Geoderma*, 160(3–4): 444–456.

Nelson D. W., Sommers L. E. 1982. Total carbon, organic carbon, and organic matter. *Methods of soil analysis*, 9(2): 539–579.

Němeček J., Vácha R., Podlešáková E. 2010. *Hodnocení kontaminace půd v ČR*. 1st ed. Praha: Výzkumný ústav meliorací a ochrany půd.

Pospíšilová L., Vlček V. 2015. *Chemické, biologické a fyzikální ukazatele kvality/zdraví půd.* 1st ed. Brno: Mendelova univerzita v Brně.

Soltanpour P. N. 1991. Determination of nutrient availability and element toxicity by AB-DTPA soil test and ICPS. *Advences Soil Science*, 16: 165–190.

Strawn D. G., Baker L. L. 2008. Speciation of Cu in a contaminated agricultural soil measured by XAFS, µ-XAFS and µ-XRF. *Environ. Sci. Technol*, 42: 37–42.

Šimek M. 2003. Základy nauky o půdě. 1st ed. České Budějovice: Jihočeská univerzita.

Tessier A., Campbell P. G. C., Bisson M. 1979. Sequential Extraction Procedure for the Speciation of Particulate Trace Metals. *Analytical chemistry*, 51(7): 844–851.

Totsche K., Rennert T., Gerzabek M., Knabner I., Smalla K., Spiteller M. 2010. Biogechemical interfaces in soil: The interdisciplinary challenge for soil science. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci*, 173: 88–99.

Vanteleon D., Lanzirotti A., Scheinost A. C., Kretzschmar R. 2005. Spatial distribution and speciation of lead around corroding bullets in a shooting range soil studied by micro-X-ray fluorescence and absorption spectroscopy. *Environ. Sci. Technol*, 39: 4808–4815.

Zbíral J., Honsa I., Malý S. 1997. Jednotné pracovní postupy. 1st ed. Brno: ÚKZÚZ.